1	JASON M. KIRBY (SBN 213370)				
2	KIRBY & KIRBY, LLP 501 W. Broadway, Suite 1720				
3	San Diego CA 92101 Telephone: 619-487-4404				
4	-				
5	SCOTT D. STIMPSON (Pro Hac Vice) TOD M. MELGAR (Pro Hac Vice)				
6	STEVEN Z. LUKSENBERG (Pro Hac Vice) SILLS CUMMIS & GROSS, P.C.				
7	101 Park Avenue, 28th Floor New York, NY 10178				
8	Telephone: 212-500-1519				
9	Attorneys for Defendant PARK ASSIST	T, LLC			
10					
11		ES DISTRICT COURT			
13		DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
13	INDECT USA CORP.,	Case No.: 3:18CV2409-BEN-MDD			
15	Plaintiff,	PARK ASSIST, LLC'S ANSWER TO			
16	v.	PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT			
17	PARK ASSIST, LLC,	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED			
18	TARK ASSIST, LLC,	JUNI INIAL DEMIANDED			
19	Defendants.				
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28	1				
	PARK ASSIST, LLC'S ANSWER TO	1 Case No. 3:18CV2409 BEN MDD			

PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

Defendant Park Assist, LLC ("Park Assist" or "Defendant") hereby responds to the First Amended Complaint for Declaratory Relief, Preliminary and Permanent Injunctive Relief, and Damages ("Amended Complaint") of Indect USA Corp. ("Indect" or "Plaintiff").

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. Admitted that Park Assist filed a complaint and amended complaint in this Court to address the clear infringement of United States Patent No. 9,594,956 ("'956 Patent") by San Diego County Regional Airport Authority ("Airport") and Ace Parking Management, Inc. ("Ace Parking") relating to the use and operation of the parking guidance system at the San Diego International Airport's Terminal 2 Parking Plaza ("Airport Parking System") that includes an INDECT parking guidance system ("Airport Litigation"). Except as expressly admitted, Park Assist denies all remaining allegations of paragraph 1 of the Amended Complaint.
 - 2. Denied.
 - 3. Denied.
- 4. Admitted that Park Assist sent a letter to University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center and to Hartsfield-Jackson Atlanta International Airport, each dated October 4, 2018, identifying the infringement by the Airport Parking System. Except as expressly admitted, Park Assist denies all remaining allegations of paragraph 4 of the Amended Complaint.
- 5. Admitted that the '956 Patent is attached as Exhibit A, and that this paragraph recites Indect's requests, but otherwise all allegations of paragraph 5 are denied, including that Indect is entitled to any such relief.
- 6. Park Assist lacks information as to why Indect brought this baseless and frivolous action, but denies that Park Assist has taken any action with regard to the '956 Patent other than to prevent or stop infringement of the '956 Patent.

1	7. Park Assist lacks information as to why Indect brought this baseless
2	and frivolous action, but denies that Park Assist has taken any action with regard to
3	the '956 Patent other than to prevent or stop infringement of the '956 Patent, and
4	denies that Indect is entitled to any of this requested relief.
5	<u>PARTIES</u>
6	8. Park Assist is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or
7	deny the allegations of paragraph 8 of the Amended Complaint, and therefore denie
8	each allegation.
9	9. Park Assist is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or
10	deny the allegations of paragraph 9 of the Amended Complaint, and therefore denie
11	each allegation.
12	10. Admitted.
13	11. Admitted.
14	JURISDICTION AND VENUE
15	12. Denied.
16	13. Admitted.
17	14. Admitted.
18	15. Admitted.
19	16. Park Assist admits that some of the facts recited in the Amended
20	Complaint occurred in this district, and otherwise denies all allegations of paragraph
21	16 of the Amended Complaint.
22	17. Park Assist admits that it brought an action in this district to stop or
23	prevent the clear infringement of the '956 Patent, and otherwise denies all
24	allegations of paragraph 17 of the Amended Complaint.
25	18. Park Assist admits that the Amended Complaint recites facts involving
26	the infringement case against the Airport and Ace, and otherwise denies all
27	allegations of paragraph 18 of the Amended Complaint.
28	

19. Park Assist does not contest personal jurisdiction or venue, but otherwise denies the allegations of paragraph 19.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 20. Admitted that Indect sells parking guidance software and systems. Except as expressly admitted, Park Assist denies all remaining allegations of paragraph 20 of the Amended Complaint.
 - 21. Denied.
- 22. Admitted that Indect sells a product called the UPSOLUT system ("Indect System") that is part of the Airport Parking System used by the Airport and Ace to clearly infringe the '956 Patent, but lacks knowledge as to what is referenced as the "suite" in this paragraph and therefore denies all other allegations of paragraph 22 of the Amended Complaint.
 - 23. Admitted.
- 24. Denied. For example, "[a]ll of [Indect's] products are driven by powerful software that allows [human intervention] to quickly and easily manage every aspect of [a] parking management and guidance program," and human users "are always in control of ... parking administration" and the system was expressly designed to allow human intervention to "change parking zones, colors or directions from [a] desktop," and for humans to "override any sign from the central system [and] make adjustments to the system counts and all field devices from [a]central system."
- 25. Admitted the UPSOLUT system can be used in this manner, and otherwise denied.
- 26. Admitted that the UPSOLUT uses a camera-based sensor that at least in part is utilized to determine whether a car parks in a space, but denies the other allegations in paragraph 26 of the Amended Complaint, including that the system

1	"verifies" t	his or that the system is particularly "sophisticated" at least as compared
2	to the supe	rior Park Assist technology.
3	27.	Admitted that the UPSOLUT uses a camera-based sensor that at least in
4	part is utili	zed to determine whether a car parks in a space, otherwise Park Assist is
5	unclear on the meaning of this paragraph (e.g., "then" makes a determination, "the"	
6	information, which server is being referenced, etc.), and accordingly the remaining	
7	allegations of paragraph 27 of the Amended Complaint are denied.	
8	28.	Admitted that changes can be made to indicator lighting, including by
9	human intervention. Except as expressly admitted, Park Assist denies all remaining	
10	allegations	of paragraph 28 of the Amended Complaint.
11	29.	Denied.
12	30.	Admitted.
13	31.	Denied.
14	32.	Denied.
15	33.	Denied.
16	34.	Denied.
17	35.	Denied.
18	36.	Denied.
19	37.	Admitted.
20	38.	Admitted.
21	39.	Admitted that the Airport did not use the superior Park Assist products,
22	but denied that Park Assist's technology was not used as the Airport and Ace copie	
23	and infringed upon the superior Park Assist technology and patent. Except as	
24	expressly admitted, Park Assist denies all allegations of paragraph 39 of the	
25	Amended Complaint.	
26	40.	Admitted.
27		
28		_

- 41. Admitted that (i) the '956 issued to Park Assist on March 14, 2017, (ii) the San Diego International Airport's Terminal 2 Parking Plaza and the Airport Parking System were reported to be operating as of May 18, 2018 as reported in the San Diego Union Tribune website article on the Airport Parking System, dated May 17, 2018 (Dkt. No. 12-3 at 61-62), and (iii) the Airport's "Parking FAQ" webpage stating that "Parking management services [are] provided by Ace Parking" (Dkt. 12-3 at 84). Park Assist is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the remaining allegations of paragraph 41 of the Amended Complaint, and therefore denies each remaining allegation.
- 42. Admitted that attached to the Amended Complaint as Exhibit B is a document bearing a date May 19, 2017 titled "Indect does not infringe U.S. Patent No. 9,594,956 (assigned to Park Assist LLC)" ("May 19, 2017 Statement"). Park Assist denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 42 of the Amended Complaint.
- 43. Admitted that the '956 Patent issued with two claims relating to a method of managing a plurality of parking spaces. Except as expressly admitted, Park Assist denies all remaining allegations of paragraph 43 of the Amended Complaint.
 - 44. Admitted.
 - 45. Admitted.
- 46. Denied as the Indect System can be used to infringe the '956 Patent as evidenced by the infringement by the Airport and Ace.
- 47. To the extent the allegations of paragraph 47 of the Amended Complaint seek to paraphrase or characterize the content of the May 19, 2017 Statement, the text of the May 19, 2017 Statement speaks for itself and Park Assist denies these allegations to the extent they are inconsistent with text of the May 19, 2017 Statement. Denied that this or any other allegation is an example of a reason

for non-infringement, insofar as the Indect System can be used to infringe the '956 Patent as evidenced by the infringement by the Airport and Ace.

- 48. Denied. The Indect System can be used to infringe the '956 Patent as evidenced by the infringement by the Airport and Ace.
- 49. To the extent the allegations of paragraph 49 of the Amended Complaint seek to paraphrase or characterize the content of the May 19, 2017 Statement, the text of the May 19, 2017 Statement speaks for itself and Park Assist denies these allegations to the extent they are inconsistent with text of the May 19, 2017 Statement. Denied that this or any other allegation is an example of a reason for non-infringement, insofar as the Indect System can be used to infringe the '956 Patent as evidenced by the infringement by the Airport and Ace.
- 50. Denied. Use of the Indect System can infringe the '956 Patent as evidenced by the infringement by the Airport and Ace.
- 51. Denied that Park Assist made any false communication or advertising, and admitted that the use of the Indect System by the Airport and Ace infringes the '956 Patent. Park Assist is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the remaining allegations of paragraph 51 of the Amended Complaint, and therefore denies each allegation.
- 52. Park Assist is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 52 of the Amended Complaint, and therefore denies each allegation.
 - 53. Denied.
 - 54. Denied.
 - 55. Denied.
 - 56. Denied.
 - 57. Denied.

- 74. The allegations in this paragraph refer to purported claim construction issues. Such issues are legal conclusions that do not require a response at this time, and are subject to a future claim construction proceeding by the Court. To the extent a response is necessary, Park Assist denies that this paragraph provides the properly phrased claim construction.
- 75. The allegations in this paragraph refer to purported claim construction issues. Such issues are legal conclusions that do not require a response at this time, and are subject to a future claim construction proceeding by the Court. To the extent a response is necessary, Park Assist denies that this paragraph provides the properly phrased claim construction.
- 76. This paragraph is unclear as written, but to the extent a response is required, denied.
 - 77. Denied.
 - 78. Denied.
 - 79. Admitted.
 - 80. Denied.
 - 81. Denied.
- 82. The allegations in this paragraph refer to purported claim construction issues. Such issues are legal conclusions that do not require a response at this time, and are subject to a future claim construction proceeding by the Court. To the extent a response is necessary, Park Assist denies that this paragraph provides the properly phrased claim construction.
 - 83. Denied.
 - 84. Admitted.
- 85. The allegations in this paragraph refer to purported claim construction issues. Such issues are legal conclusions that do not require a response at this time, and are subject to a future claim construction proceeding by the Court. To the

extent a response is necessary, Park Assist denies that this paragraph provides the properly phrased claim construction.

- 86. The allegations in this paragraph refer to purported claim construction issues. Such issues are legal conclusions that do not require a response at this time, and are subject to a future claim construction proceeding by the Court. To the extent a response is necessary, Park Assist denies that this paragraph provides the properly phrased claim construction.
 - 87. Denied.
 - 88. Admitted.
- 89. The allegations in this paragraph refer to purported claim construction issues. Such issues are legal conclusions that do not require a response at this time, and are subject to a future claim construction proceeding by the Court. To the extent a response is necessary, Park Assist denies that this paragraph provides the properly phrased claim construction.
- 90. The allegations in this paragraph refer to purported claim construction issues. Such issues are legal conclusions that do not require a response at this time, and are subject to a future claim construction proceeding by the Court. To the extent a response is necessary, Park Assist denies that this paragraph provides the properly phrased claim construction.
- 91. The allegations in this paragraph refer to purported claim construction issues. Such issues are legal conclusions that do not require a response at this time, and are subject to a future claim construction proceeding by the Court. To the extent a response is necessary, Park Assist denies that this paragraph provides the properly phrased claim construction.
- 92. The allegations in this paragraph refer to purported claim construction issues. Such issues are legal conclusions that do not require a response at this time, and are subject to a future claim construction proceeding by the Court. To the

extent a response is necessary, Park Assist denies that this paragraph provides the properly phrased claim construction.

- 93. The allegations in this paragraph refer to purported claim construction issues. Such issues are legal conclusions that do not require a response at this time, and are subject to a future claim construction proceeding by the Court. To the extent a response is necessary, Park Assist denies that this paragraph provides the properly phrased claim construction.
- 94. The allegations in this paragraph refer to purported claim construction issues. Such issues are legal conclusions that do not require a response at this time, and are subject to a future claim construction proceeding by the Court. To the extent a response is necessary, Park Assist denies that this paragraph provides the properly phrased claim construction.
- 95. The allegations in this paragraph refer to purported claim construction issues. Such issues are legal conclusions that do not require a response at this time, and are subject to a future claim construction proceeding by the Court. To the extent a response is necessary, Park Assist denies that this paragraph provides the properly phrased claim construction.
- 96. The allegations in this paragraph refer to purported claim construction issues. Such issues are legal conclusions that do not require a response at this time, and are subject to a future claim construction proceeding by the Court. To the extent a response is necessary, Park Assist denies that this paragraph provides the properly phrased claim construction.
- 97. The allegations in this paragraph refer to purported claim construction issues. Such issues are legal conclusions that do not require a response at this time, and are subject to a future claim construction proceeding by the Court. To the extent a response is necessary, Park Assist denies that this paragraph provides the properly phrased claim construction.

- 98. The allegations in this paragraph refer to purported claim construction issues. Such issues are legal conclusions that do not require a response at this time, and are subject to a future claim construction proceeding by the Court. To the extent a response is necessary, Park Assist denies that this paragraph provides the properly phrased claim construction.
 - 99. Denied.
 - 100. Admitted.
- 101. The allegations in this paragraph refer to purported claim construction issues. Such issues are legal conclusions that do not require a response at this time, and are subject to a future claim construction proceeding by the Court. To the extent a response is necessary, Park Assist denies that this paragraph provides the properly phrased claim construction.
- 102. The allegations in this paragraph refer to purported claim construction issues. Such issues are legal conclusions that do not require a response at this time, and are subject to a future claim construction proceeding by the Court. To the extent a response is necessary, Park Assist denies that this paragraph provides the properly phrased claim construction.
- 103. The allegations in this paragraph refer to purported claim construction issues. Such issues are legal conclusions that do not require a response at this time, and are subject to a future claim construction proceeding by the Court. To the extent a response is necessary, Park Assist denies that this paragraph provides the properly phrased claim construction.
- 104. The allegations in this paragraph refer to purported claim construction issues. Such issues are legal conclusions that do not require a response at this time, and are subject to a future claim construction proceeding by the Court. To the extent a response is necessary, Park Assist denies that this paragraph provides the properly phrased claim construction.

1 105. Denied. 2 106. Denied. 3 Admitted. 107. 4 108. Denied. 5 Admitted. 109. 6 The allegations in this paragraph refer to purported claim construction 7 issues. Such issues are legal conclusions that do not require a response at this time, 8 and are subject to a future claim construction proceeding by the Court. To the 9 extent a response is necessary, Park Assist denies that this paragraph provides the 10 properly phrased claim construction. 11 The allegations in this paragraph refer to purported claim construction 12 issues. Such issues are legal conclusions that do not require a response at this time, and are subject to a future claim construction proceeding by the Court. To the 13 14 extent a response is necessary, Park Assist denies that this paragraph provides the 15 properly phrased claim construction. 16 112. The allegations in this paragraph refer to purported claim construction 17 issues. Such issues are legal conclusions that do not require a response at this time, and are subject to a future claim construction proceeding by the Court. To the 18 19 extent a response is necessary, Park Assist denies that this paragraph provides the properly phrased claim construction. 20 21 The UPSOLUT system meets the element of claim 1(f) of the patent, 22 and to the extent that this paragraph is implying that this or any other claim element 23 is not met by the Airport and Ace, denied. Park Assist is otherwise without 24 knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 25 113 of the Amended Complaint as drafted, and to the extent this paragraph inquires 26 about features not claimed in the patent, and therefore denies each allegation. 27

- 114. The Airport Parking System meets the element of claim 1(f) of the patent, and to the extent that this paragraph is implying that this or any other claim element is not met by the Airport and Ace, denied. Park Assist is otherwise without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 114 of the Amended Complaint as drafted, and to the extent this paragraph inquires about features not claimed in the patent, and therefore denies each allegation.
 - 115. Denied.
 - 116. Denied.
- 117. This paragraph is unclear. To the extent understood, admitted that the Airport specifications alone do not explicitly require this feature as drafted in this paragraph, but denied to the extent this paragraph is intended to imply that the Airport and Ace do not infringe claim 1 of the '956 Patent.
- 118. Admitted that the Airport specifications alone do not explicitly use this word, but the Indect System employed in accordance with that specification clearly uses thumbnails, and denied to the extent this paragraph is intended to imply that the Airport and Ace do not infringe claim 1 of the '956 Patent.
- 119. Admitted that the Airport specifications alone do not explicitly require this feature, but the Indect System employed in accordance with that specification clearly uses thumbnails, and denied to the extent this paragraph is intended to imply that the Airport and Ace do not infringe claim 1 of the '956 Patent.
- 120. This paragraph is unclear. To the extent understood, admitted that the Airport specifications alone do not explicitly require this feature as drafted in this paragraph, but denied to the extent this paragraph is intended to imply that the Airport and Ace do not infringe claim 1 of the '956 Patent.
- 121. Admitted that the Airport specifications alone do not explicitly require this feature, but the Indect System employed in accordance with that specification

COMPLAINT

clearly uses thumbnails, and denied to the extent this paragraph is intended to imply that the Airport and Ace do not infringe claim 1 of the '956 Patent.

- 122. The allegations in this paragraph refer to purported claim construction issues. Such issues are legal conclusions that do not require a response at this time, and are subject to a future claim construction proceeding by the Court. To the extent a response is necessary, Park Assist denies that this paragraph provides the properly phrased claim construction.
- 123. The UPSOLUT system meets the element of claim 1(f) of the '956 Patent, and to the extent that this paragraph implies that this or any other claim element is not met by the Airport and Ace, denied. Park Assist is otherwise without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 123 of the Amended Complaint as drafted, including whether this paragraph inquires about features not claimed in the '956 Patent, and therefore denies each allegation.
- 124. The Airport Parking System meets the element of claim 1(f) of the '956 Patent, and to the extent that this paragraph implies that this or any other claim element is not met by the Airport and Ace, denied. Park Assist is otherwise without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 124 of the Amended Complaint as drafted, including whether this paragraph inquires about features not claimed in the '956 Patent, and therefore denies each allegation.
- 125. Admitted that the Airport specifications alone do not explicitly require this feature, but the Indect System employed in accordance with that specification clearly uses thumbnails, and denied to the extent this paragraph is intended to imply that the Airport and Ace do not infringe claim 1 of the '956 Patent.
- 126. Admitted that the Airport specifications alone do not explicitly require this feature, but the Indect System employed in accordance with that specification

- 127. The allegations in this paragraph refer to purported claim construction issues. Such issues are legal conclusions that do not require a response at this time, and are subject to a future claim construction proceeding by the Court. To the extent a response is necessary, Park Assist denies that this paragraph provides the properly phrased claim construction.
- 128. The UPSOLUT system meets the element of claim 1(f) of the '956 Patent, and to the extent that this paragraph implies that this or any other claim element is not met by the Airport and Ace, denied. Park Assist is otherwise without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 128 of the Amended Complaint as drafted, including whether this paragraph inquires about features not claimed in the '956 Patent, and therefore denies each allegation.
- 129. The Airport Parking System meets the element of claim 1(f) of the '956 Patent, and to the extent that this paragraph implies that this or any other claim element is not met by the Airport and Ace, denied. Park Assist is otherwise without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 129 of the Amended Complaint as drafted, including whether this paragraph inquires about features not claimed in the patent, and therefore denies each allegation.
- 130. Admitted that the Airport specifications alone do not explicitly require this feature, but the Indect System employed in accordance with that specification clearly uses thumbnails, and denied to the extent this paragraph is intended to imply that the Airport and Ace do not infringe claim 1 of the '956 Patent.
- 131. Admitted that the Airport specifications alone do not explicitly require this feature, but the Indect System employed in accordance with that specification

clearly uses thumbnails, and denied to the extent this paragraph is intended to imply that the Airport and Ace do not infringe claim 1 of the '956 Patent.

- 132. Denied.
- 133. Denied.
- 134. Denied.
- 135. Denied.
- 136. Admitted.
- 137. The allegations in this paragraph refer to purported claim construction issues. Such issues are legal conclusions that do not require a response at this time, and are subject to a future claim construction proceeding by the Court. To the extent a response is necessary, Park Assist denies that this paragraph provides the properly phrased claim construction.
- 138. The allegations in this paragraph refer to purported claim construction issues. Such issues are legal conclusions that do not require a response at this time, and are subject to a future claim construction proceeding by the Court. To the extent a response is necessary, Park Assist denies that this paragraph provides the properly phrased claim construction.
- 139. The allegations in this paragraph refer to purported claim construction issues. Such issues are legal conclusions that do not require a response at this time, and are subject to a future claim construction proceeding by the Court. To the extent a response is necessary, Park Assist denies that this paragraph provides the properly phrased claim construction.
- 140. The allegations in this paragraph refer to purported claim construction issues. Such issues are legal conclusions that do not require a response at this time, and are subject to a future claim construction proceeding by the Court. To the extent a response is necessary, Park Assist denies that this paragraph provides the properly phrased claim construction.

- 141. The UPSOLUT system meets the element of claim 1(g) of the '956 Patent, and to the extent that this paragraph implies that this or any other claim element is not met by the Airport and Ace, denied. Park Assist is otherwise without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 141 of the Amended Complaint as drafted, including whether this paragraph inquires about features not claimed in the '956 Patent, and therefore denies each allegation.
 - 142. Denied.
 - 143. Denied.
 - 144. Denied.
 - 145. Denied.
 - 146. Denied.
 - 147. Denied.
 - 148. Denied.
 - 149. Denied.
 - 150. Denied.
 - 151. Denied.
 - 152. Denied.
- 153. Admitted.
- 154. The allegations in this paragraph refer to purported claim construction issues. Such issues are legal conclusions that do not require a response at this time, and are subject to a future claim construction proceeding by the Court. To the extent a response is necessary, Park Assist denies that this paragraph provides the properly phrased claim construction.
- 155. The allegations in this paragraph refer to purported claim construction issues. Such issues are legal conclusions that do not require a response at this time, and are subject to a future claim construction proceeding by the Court. To the

1 169. This paragraph is unintelligible, and so denied. 2 170. Denied. 3 171. Denied. 172. 4 Denied. 5 173. Denied. 6 Denied. 174. 7 175. Denied. 8 176. Denied. 9 177. Denied. 10 178. Admitted. 11 The allegations in this paragraph refer to purported claim construction 12 issues. Such issues are legal conclusions that do not require a response at this time, and are subject to a future claim construction proceeding by the Court. To the 13 14 extent a response is necessary, Park Assist denies that this paragraph provides the 15 properly phrased claim construction. 16 180. The allegations in this paragraph refer to purported claim construction 17 issues. Such issues are legal conclusions that do not require a response at this time, and are subject to a future claim construction proceeding by the Court. To the 18 19 extent a response is necessary, Park Assist denies that this paragraph provides the properly phrased claim construction. 20 21 The allegations in this paragraph refer to purported claim construction 22 issues. Such issues are legal conclusions that do not require a response at this time, and are subject to a future claim construction proceeding by the Court. To the 23 extent a response is necessary, Park Assist denies that this paragraph provides the 24 25 properly phrased claim construction. 26 The allegations in this paragraph refer to purported claim construction 27 issues. Such issues are legal conclusions that do not require a response at this time,

and are subject to a future claim construction proceeding by the Court. To the extent a response is necessary, Park Assist denies that this paragraph provides the properly phrased claim construction.

- 183. The allegations in this paragraph refer to purported claim construction issues. Such issues are legal conclusions that do not require a response at this time, and are subject to a future claim construction proceeding by the Court. To the extent a response is necessary, Park Assist denies that this paragraph provides the properly phrased claim construction.
 - 184. Denied.
 - 185. Denied.
 - 186. Denied.
 - 187. Admitted.
- 188. The allegations in this paragraph refer to purported claim construction issues. Such issues are legal conclusions that do not require a response at this time, and are subject to a future claim construction proceeding by the Court. To the extent a response is necessary, Park Assist denies that this paragraph provides the properly phrased claim construction.
- 189. The allegations in this paragraph refer to purported claim construction issues. Such issues are legal conclusions that do not require a response at this time, and are subject to a future claim construction proceeding by the Court. To the extent a response is necessary, Park Assist denies that this paragraph provides the properly phrased claim construction.
- 190. The allegations in this paragraph refer to purported claim construction issues. Such issues are legal conclusions that do not require a response at this time, and are subject to a future claim construction proceeding by the Court. To the extent a response is necessary, Park Assist denies that this paragraph provides the properly phrased claim construction.

1	191.	Denied.
2	192.	Denied.
3	193.	Denied.
4	194.	Admitted that Park Assist sued infringers Airport and Ace, but
5	otherwise al	ll allegations of this paragraph are denied.
6	195.	Admitted that the reason Park Assist sued the Airport and Ace is clear,
7	in that they are infringing the '956 Patent; otherwise Park Assist denies all	
8	remaining allegations of paragraph 195 of the Amended Complaint.	
9	196.	Admitted that Park Assist brought a complaint to address the clear
10	infringemen	nt by the Airport and Ace; otherwise all remaining allegations of
11	paragraph 196 of the Amended Complaint are denied.	
12	197.	Denied.
13	198.	Denied.
14	199.	Denied.
15	200.	Denied.
16	201.	Admitted that Walker has been advised of Park Assist's infringement
17	action again	ast the Airport and Ace, and Watry may have been so advised, otherwise
18	Park Assist denies all remaining allegations of paragraph 201 of the Amended	
19	Complaint.	
20	202.	Denied.
21	203.	Denied.
22	204.	Park Assist is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or
23	deny the allegations of paragraph 204 of the Amended Complaint, and therefore	
24	denies each	allegation.
25	205.	Denied.
26	206.	Admitted that Park Assist brought a complaint to address the clear
27	infringemen	nt of the Airport and Ace, and admitted that Park Assist has informed
28		

1	others of the infringement; otherwise all allegations of paragraph 206 of the	
2	Amended C	Complaint are denied.
3	207.	Denied.
4		FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF
5	208.	Park Assist restates and incorporates by reference each of the responses
6	set forth abo	ove.
7	209.	Denied.
8	210.	Denied.
9	211.	Admitted that this paragraph recites Indect's requests, but denied that
10	Indect is entitled to any such relief.	
11	212.	Admitted that this paragraph recites Indect's requests, but denied that
12	Indect is en	titled to any such relief.
13		SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF
14	213.	Park Assist restates and incorporates by reference each of the responses
15	set forth abo	ove.
16	214.	Denied.
17	215.	Denied.
18	216.	Admitted that this paragraph recites Indect's requests, but denied that
19	Indect is entitled to any such relief.	
20	217.	Admitted that this paragraph recites Indect's requests, but denied that
21	Indect is en	titled to any such relief.
22		THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF
23	218.	Park Assist restates and incorporates by reference each of the responses
24	set forth abo	ove.
25	219.	Denied.
26	220.	Denied.
27	221.	Denied.
28		23

1	222. Denied.	
2	223. Denied.	
3	224. Admitted that this paragraph recites Indect's requests, but denied that	
4	Indect is entitled to any such relief.	
5	JURY DEMAND	
6	Park Assist admits that Indect demands "a jury on all triable issues." Indect's	
7	Jury Demand does not state an allegation to which a responsive pleading is required;	
8	to the extent that this sentence is construed to include any such allegations and/or	
9	averments, they are denied. Park Assist also demands a trial by jury.	
10	PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
11	Park Assist denies that Indect is entitled to any of the relief or any relief in this	
12	action.	
13	action.	
14	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES	
15	By referring to the following as affirmative defenses, Park Assist does not	
16	agree that they are affirmative defenses and Park Assist does not undertake any	
17	burdens of proof that might otherwise not be required.	
18	FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
19	(Failure to State a Claim)	
20	The Amended Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.	
21		
22	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
23	(Good Faith)	
24	Indect's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because Park Assist at all times	
25	acted in good faith.	
26	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
27	(Subject Matter Jurisdiction)	
28	PARK ASSIST, LLC'S ANSWER TO Case No. 3:18CV2409 BEN-MDD	
	TAMES ADDIDED DATED FERE TO COST TO STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF T	

PARK ASSIST, LLC'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

The Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over Indect's claims for declaratory 1 2 judgment for reasons including, but not limited to, there is no actual controversy. 3 FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 4 **Lack of Causal Relationship** 5 Park Assist cannot be liable for any alleged harm that could not have been or 6 cannot be established to have been caused by Park Assist or that is caused by 7 intervening or superseding actions of Indect or third parties beyond the control of Park 8 Assist including, but not limited to, any third party decisions to select the superior 9 Park Assist products over Indect products. 10 11 12 **DATED:** August 26, 2019 Respectfully submitted, 13 SILLS CUMMIS & GROSS, PC 14 By: /s/Tod M. Melgar 15 Tod M. Melgar (Pro Hac Vice) NY Bar No. 2790228 16 tmelgar@sillscummis.com 17 Scott D. Stimpson (Pro Hac Vice) NY Bar No. 2361012 18 sstimspon@sillscummis.com 19 Steven Luksenberg, (Pro Hac Vice) NY Bar No. 4986436 20 sluksenberg@sillscummis.com 21 SILLS CUMMIS & GROSS LLP 101 Park Avenue, 28th Floor 22 New York, NY 10178 23 Telephone: 212-643-7000 24 Jason M. Kirby (SBN 213370) 25 jason@kirbyandkirbylaw.com KIRBY & KIRBY, LLP 26 501 W. Broadway, Suite 1720 27 San Diego CA 92101

25